

I stand to lose my job at the end of the month if I don't comply with the vaccine mandate recently imposed on me. Up until now, I've been quiet. I've held my tongue. I've kept my opinions to myself. I've been afraid to speak up. Afraid of losing "friends" and creating conflict. I hoped it wouldn't come to this! While many of my coworkers: nurses and doctors, aides and therapists, were in the front of the line to get vaccinated, I declined. I can say this: the past 8 months of vaccine rollout has not caused me to reconsider that decision. My reason is simple. Nothing in the rollout of these vaccines has convinced me that human health or wellbeing is forefront, or any part at all for that matter, in the program. Here's why I believe this:

1. For a vaccine that is still under emergency use authorization (EUA) meaning it is still experimental, there has been essentially no attempt to monitor and study and track adverse reactions. There have been no safety committees examining the post rollout data. There is no formal means of even collecting such data! There is no measured study of comparison of the vaccinated to the unvaccinated. No way to know if the morbidity and mortality are higher in the active group versus the control group. There is no formal comparisons going on. In fact it seems the goal now is to eliminate the control group (poorly studied as it is) altogether! How can this be construed as anything other than an attempt to obscure safety information? Just stop and imagine a "study" in which none of the results were tracked! It wouldn't be a study at all. It wouldn't be science. To all the people telling me self righteously that they follow the science, I say, "where is it?" Show it to me. To all the people who "follow the science" most, it seem, only follow the headlines.

2. The ONLY post rollout safety tracking in the US is through VAERS, a voluntary (passive) and underreported system that many people, including medical professionals, have never even heard of and is challenging to use. According to a Harvard study, VAERS captures only 1-10% of adverse reactions. according to VAERS, (remember that these are vastly underreported numbers, possibly only 1-10% of actual cases) covid vaccines have the highest rate of deaths and adverse reactions than ALL other vaccines combined for the entire history of vaccination. So far to date, there have been 12,000 reported deaths to VAERS in the United States associated in close chronological timing to vaccine administration. There have been over 30,000 hospitalizations, and 400,000 adverse reactions. If the vaccine program was actually about human health, these cases would be investigated. In fact, ethically, given that the vaccine is still experimental, they ought to be considered as related UNTIL proven otherwise. But this isn't what's happening! Instead from the very beginning, almost all the deaths associated with the vaccine were deemed "coincidental". "People die," we were told, "sometimes they die right after getting the jab". Just forget it and move on. The two are not related. No cause and effect here. And perhaps there isn't, but the regulatory bodies owe it to all of us to thoroughly study, evaluate and ultimately prove to us that this really is the case. According to Peter McCullough, a renowned cardiologist and internist in Texas, the cutoff for pulling a medication or therapeutic agent from market is between 25-150 deaths in close timing of administration. Again, we have had, according to the



CDC VAERS data, 12,000 deaths in close proximity to vaccination. The absence of a thorough and serious investigation of this is downright terrifying. And unethical. And possibly criminal.

Rather than address any of this, the freight train of employer based mandates around the country (backed by the Department of Justice) is barreling down the tracks straight for us all!

3. The EUA for the vaccines was predicated entirely on the basis that there were no viable alternatives in the treatment of Covid 19. (The vaccines could NOT have been granted EUA if any such alternative existed.) Effective alternatives DO actually exist. There have been brave doctors all over the world (Peter McCullough is one) pioneering and discovering treatments for covid 19. Covid did NOT need to kill over 600,000 Americans! This a travesty. It's criminal. Most of my healthcare colleagues have never heard of ivermectin. They have no idea that an extremely safe and inexpensive parasite medication has proven to reduce mortality by a substantial amount. Hydroxychloroquine, doxycycline, azithromycin, fluvoxamine, zinc, and vitamin D have also been shown to substantially reduce hospitalizations and death. All this information has been actively suppressed and censored. The effort to block any potential treatments was seen all too clearly in the fraudulent articles in both the lancet and the NEJM which claimed hydroxychloroquine was a dangerous medication in the use of covid. The articles were since retracted and are recognized as being FULLY FABRICATED. Think on that please! Every article that makes it into these journals is supposed to be thoroughly vetted by a team

of peer reviewing scientists. Either, we are to believe that these tier one, highly esteemed journals just MISSED that the articles were fake (where exactly was their team of peer reviewers?) or they INTENDED for those articles to be published. (Do we believe that corruption doesn't or can't infiltrate science?) Both possibilities are deeply troubling! What does that say about everything else they publish? With hydroxychloroquine, the damage was done. It didn't matter that the articles were fraudulent, hydroxychloroquine was discredited and further testing and use was essentially dropped.

Again, if a genuine concern for human health was central to the covid response, would we be seeing valid therapies being censored and suppressed? Do they not deserve at least equal consideration as their vaccine counterparts? How can a reasonably intelligent person not conclude that these actions aren't motivated by a singular agenda of vaccine development and administration?

4. The overwhelming censorship and attempt to control the dialogue on the vaccine and treatments, speaks not to an open scientific discourse but instead to a vaccination agenda. Again, such a blockade of science and scientists is evidence that this has little to do with human health and more to do with a separate (financial or otherwise) agenda.
5. We are told that the two initial vaccines, Pfizer and Moderna, were both over 95% effective. We were NOT told that this was the relative risk reduction and not the absolute risk reduction. The absolute risk reduction is only about 3%. We were NOT told that the effectiveness was only in preventing severe covid. That



vaccination did NOT produce immunity OR stop transmission. We were NOT told that we could still catch covid and still give it to grandma. We were NOT told that this was a divergence from the concept of immunity as most people understood. The idea that you might actually be immune didn't apply to the covid vaccines. We were NOT told that patients that developed covid signs and symptoms in the vaccine group were not even routinely tested to see if they had actually developed covid! Hence making the effectiveness seem even higher. We were NOT told that they only recruited very healthy individuals into their studies. We were NOT told that the rate of covid attack in both the placebo and vaccine groups was only about 1.5%, far lower than in the community at large. We were NOT given an explanation as to why or how that would skew efficacy data. We were NOT told that the vaccine was never tested on the most vulnerable, on pregnant women, or on covid recovered patients. We are NOT told the rate of vaccine failure either in the studies or in the general population since. Months ago, the CDC conveniently stopped counting how many breakthrough cases there are. Why, after all, would anyone want to know those numbers? It's not like this is an ongoing study or anything! It's not like we want to know whether the vaccine is actually working. We were absurdly told that vaccine failure is an indication of vaccine strength. These people weren't "quite as sick" as they would have been had they not had it. For a disease which is mild for the vast majority of people >95% to be exact, one wonders how exactly this is determined and what the numbers actually look like. Especially considering that the subset of covid

vaccinated, covid positive people do still end up hospitalized and with severe covid. One wonders if this message isn't convenient rhetoric and propaganda, based not on science but rather on the "safe and effective" narrative that's been pounded repeatedly by the media and by the organizations in support of it. Most importantly though, NO ONE has been given access to the raw data of the Pfizer and Moderna studies. No one has been allowed to evaluate it or draw independent conclusions on it.

6. We were told that the spike protein manufactured by the vaccine was harmless, that it stayed at the injection site in the arm and was rapidly neutralized and eliminated. This is untrue. The spike protein, as discovered by Japanese researchers, is widely disseminated in body tissues following vaccination (blood vessels, the brain, the heart, the reproductive organs) and, like in severe covid, is probably responsible for the worst of the vaccine adverse effects, including hematological disorders and resulting blood clots, myocarditis, pericarditis, and neurological dysfunction. Spike protein following vaccination is found in the ovaries and testes. No one knows how long human cells continue to manufacture spike proteins and how the immune system responds to those cells. Whether it attacks them and what the potential for collateral damage is. The consequences are entirely unknown. It's never been used or studied and still isn't being studied on any type scale. Instead we're told to not ask questions and line up for the shots. We're told that no short cuts were taken in the development of the vaccines.
7. If human health were a priority, why has the discussion of covid recovered immunity not entered into the



dialogue? Why is everyone, regardless of covid antibody levels being encouraged (and now mandated) to get the vaccine? Why are the risks of the vaccine to covid recovered (which are being found to be especially high) not being discussed? No time in the history of medicine has vaccine immunity ever compared to natural immunity. Why now is it being touted as better? Where is the research to back this up? If it was truly immunity our healthcare organizations were after, why isn't this immunity also being recognized? This is actual science. And it shows robust, long lasting immunity. Nonetheless, it appears that it's only the vaccine that they, the ones developing these dictates and mandates, are in favor of! It clearly has nothing to do with actual health.

8)The PCR test has been wildly misused. By all reputable sources, it is agreed that any testing cycle over 45 will yield an unacceptably high levels of false positives. All the PCR testing up until January of 2021 was based on such high cycle numbers. In January, shortly after the introduction of the vaccine, this was quietly dropped to 30. We should all be asking why.

We are told that the flu mysteriously vanished in 2020. Turns out the CDC is now changing the covid testing so as to accurately differentiate between covid 19 and influenza. They acknowledge that previously there was no way to distinguish the two. Wait what...? Yes seriously. The flu probably did not disappear as we were told. No, it wasn't the effect of social distancing and the masks as we were led to believe.

9. we are told that vaccine manufacturers make essentially no money from vaccines, that it is a money losing venture. If we are to believe the messages,

vaccine production is basically a humanitarian effort, one which pharmaceutical companies are so dedicated to as an essential public service, that they practically do it for free. And in exchange for that service, the only thing they ask is to be fully and completely indemnified of all responsibility for any and all negative consequences their products might incur. They have demanded such immunity, from nation after nation, without exception. So, while you, as a vaccinated person, might not be actually immune, rest assured, they definitely are! And as a vaccinated person, if you are injured or disabled or killed, there is absolutely no one who can be held responsible. No one!! Not your employer (thanks to OSHA), not your doctor recommending it, not the person administering the jab, not the company making it. You're on your own. Pfizer, for reference, is on track to make 33.5 billion in revenue from their covid vaccine. 33.5 BILLION. In 8 months since rollout.

10. We are told that the delta variant is the direct result of virus circulating encumbered in the cesspool of the unvaccinated. We are NOT told that there are respected virologists who believe exactly the opposite. They believe that when a biological agent that is deployed to attempt to eradicate a microorganism, be it a vaccine, an anti-viral, an antibiotic or an anti-fungal, is NOT able to fully eradicate that said microorganism (which the covid vaccine has proven to not be able to do) the remaining microorganism that survives develops increased mechanisms to escape and evade demise, and as a direct result will become more resilient, potentially more virulent, and potentially more transmissible. Such evolutionary pressure on the virus



by the vaccine causes mutations. So next time someone tells you that the unvaccinated are the ones driving the creation of variants, remember that it is far more likely to be the vaccine itself doing that.

11. In the worldwide response to covid we are seeing individuals' rights being destroyed. We are being mandated to take experimental biological agents, two of which have never been used in humans before. Or risk losing our jobs. This is coercion. It is the very definition of coercion. And it was prohibited by the laws and guidelines that have governed our policies up until now. The Nuremberg code was developed in response to the atrocities of the Nazi "doctors" on Jewish and European prisoners. The Nuremberg code was written and adopted after WW II by all the developed nations and specifically provides guidelines to prevent such terrible crimes against humanity from ever happening again. Note that informed consent free of all manner of coercion or force is required. Here's an excerpt from the Nuremberg code:

"The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be

conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.” The very basis of medicine is informed consent and freedom to choose. The only place in medicine in which this overridden is in psychiatry and in these cases, it must be done with the agreement of two medical doctors AND a court order AND only in the case of such grave disability that the individual is unable to exercise personal agency. Even then it is for a time limited duration only! In addition, the basis of the FDAs written stand on EAU treatments is that they MUST be voluntary. All people, vaccinated or not, ought to be in an uproar over this. It is just that wrong!!

I said at the beginning of this, I now I face losing my job by the end of the month if I don't submit to the jab. A jab which I feel is physically, psychologically, morally, ethically, and spiritually wrong! I cannot abide with it on any level of my being.

So no, I am NOT persuaded to roll up my sleeve. I am though persuaded to speak up. To lend my voice, small though it is, to the rising swell of voices like mine. I must and I will stand up for what I believe. For truth and freedom and health. For spiritual and religious freedoms. Because free speech and the right to bodily sovereignty is the basis for ALL FREEDOM. If you are not free to choose what happens to your body or to speak your truth, you are simply not free. To all those in support of the vaccine mandates, let me ask this, what happens when the next injection isn't something you believe in? When it's something you think might actually harm YOU? Or your children